Senate Bill No. 601

(By Senator Palumbo)

[Introduced February 14, 2014; referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.]

A BILL to amend and reenact §11-3-25 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, relating to appeals of assessments by the Board of Equalization and Review or order of the Board of Assessment Appeals; removing a phrase giving appeal authority to an entity's agent, which the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia interpreted as unconstitutional; and clarifying that appeals must be made by attorneys.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

That §11-3-25 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, be amended and reenacted to read as follows:

ARTICLE 3. ASSESSMENTS GENERALLY.

§11-3-25. Relief in circuit court against erroneous assessment.

2

(a) Any person claiming to be aggrieved by any 1 2 assessment in any land or personal property book of any county who shall have appeared and contested the 3 4 valuation as provided in section twenty-four or twenty-5 four-a of this article, or whose assessment has been raised 6 by the county commission sitting as a Board of 7 Equalization and Review above the assessment fixed by the 8 assessor may, at any time up to thirty days after the adjournment of the board sitting as a Board of Equalization 9 and Review, or at anytime up to thirty days after the order 10 11 of the Board of Assessment Appeals is served on the 12 parties, apply for relief to the circuit court of the county in which the property books are made out; but any person 13 applying for relief in circuit court shall, before any 14 15 application is heard, give ten-days' notice to the prosecuting attorney of the county, whose duty it shall be 16 17 to attend to the interests of the state, county and district in 18 the matter, and the prosecuting attorney shall give at least 19 five days' notice of hearing to the Tax Commissioner.

20 (b) The right of appeal from any assessment by the Board 21 of Equalization and Review or order of the Board of 22 Assessment Appeals as provided in this section, may be taken 23 either by the applicant or by the state, and in case the 24 applicant, by his or her agent or attorney, or in the case of the 25 state, by its prosecuting attorney or other attorney representing the Tax Commissioner. desires to take an appeal 26 27 from the decision of the either board, The party desiring to 28 take an appeal from the decision of either board shall have 29 the evidence taken at the hearing of the application before 30 either board, including a transcript of all testimony and all 31 papers, motions, documents, evidence and records as were before the board, certified by the county clerk and 32 33 transmitted to the circuit court as provided in section four, 34 article three, chapter fifty-eight of this code, except that, any other provision of this code notwithstanding, the evidence 35 shall be certified and transmitted within thirty days after the 36 37 petition for appeal is filed with the court or judge, in 38 vacation.

57

(c) If there was an appearance by or on behalf of the 39 40 taxpayer before either board, or if actual notice, certified by 41 the board, was given to the taxpayer, the appeal, when allowed by the court or judge, in vacation, shall be 42 43 determined by the court from the record as so certified: 44 *Provided*. That in cases where the court determines that the 45 record made before the board is inadequate as a result of the 46 parties having had insufficient time to present evidence at the hearing before the board to make a proper record, as a result 47 of the parties having received insufficient notice of changes 48 in the assessed value of the property and the reason or 49 50 reasons for the changes to make a proper record at the 51 hearing before the board, as a result of irregularities in the 52 procedures followed at the hearing before the board, or for 53 any other reason not involving the negligence of the party 54 alleging that the record is inadequate, the court may remand 55 the appeal back to the county commission of the county in 56 which the property is located, even after the county

commission has adjourned sine die as a Board of

58 Equalization and Review or a Board of Assessment Appeals 59 for the tax year in which the appeal arose, for the purpose of developing an adequate record upon which the appeal can be 60 61 decided. The county commission shall schedule a hearing for 62 the purpose of taking additional evidence at any time within 63 ninety days of the remand order that is convenient for the 64 county commission and for the parties to the appeal. If, 65 however, there was no actual notice to the taxpayer, and no appearance by or on behalf of the taxpayer before the board, 66 or if a question of classification or taxability is presented, the 67 68 matter shall be heard de novo by the circuit court.

(d) If, upon the hearing of appeal, it is determined that 69 70 any property has been assessed at more than sixty percent of 71 its true and actual value determined as provided in this 72 chapter, the circuit court shall, by an order entered of record, 73 correct the assessment, and fix the assessed value of the 74 property at sixty percent of its true and actual value. A copy 75 of the order or orders entered by the circuit court reducing 76 the valuation shall be certified to the Auditor, if the order or

6

orders pertain to real property, by the clerk within twenty 77 days after the entering of the same, and every order or 78 judgment shall show that the prosecuting attorney or Tax 79 80 Commissioner was present and defended the interest of the 81 state, county and district. If it be ascertained that any 82 property has been valued too high, and that the taxpayer has 83 paid the excess tax, it shall be refunded or credited to the 84 taxpayer in accordance with the provisions of section twenty-85 five-a of this article, and if not paid, he or she shall be relieved from the payment thereof. If it is ascertained that 86 87 any property is valued too low, the circuit court shall, by an 88 order entered of record, correct the valuation and fix it at sixty percent of its true and actual value. A copy of any 89 order entered by any circuit court increasing the valuation of 90 91 property shall be certified within twenty days, if the order 92 pertains to real property, to the Auditor, the county clerk and 93 the sheriff. However, if the order pertains only to personal 94 property, then the copy shall be certified within twenty days 95 to the county clerk and to the sheriff and it shall be the duty

of the Auditor, the county clerk and the sheriff to charge the 96 taxpayer affected with the increase of taxes occasioned by the 97 98 increase of valuation by applying the rate of levies for every 99 purpose in the district where the property is situated for the 100 current year. The order shall also be filed in the office of the 101 Auditor and clerk of the county commission. The circuit court shall review the record submitted from the board. If the 102 103 court determines that the record is adequate, it shall establish a briefing and argument schedule that will result in the appeal 104 being submitted to the court for decision within a reasonable 105 time, but not to exceed eight months after the appeal is filed. 106 All final decisions or orders of the circuit court shall be 107 issued within a reasonable time, not to exceed ninety days, 108 from the date the last brief is filed and the case is submitted 109 110 to the court for decision. The state or the aggrieved taxpayer may appeal a question of valuation to the Supreme Court of 111 112 Appeals if the assessed value of the property is \$50,000 or more, and either party may appeal a question of classification 113 114 or taxability.

8

(e) All persons applying for relief to the circuit court
under this section shall be governed by the same
presumptions, burdens and standards of proof as established
by law for taxpayers applying for such relief.
(f) *Effective date*. – The amendments to this section
enacted in 2010 shall apply to tax years beginning after
December 31, 2011.

(NOTE: The purpose of this bill is to correct the code in light of a Supreme Court decision in *Shenandoah Sales & Service, Inc. v. assessor of Jefferson County*, 228 W. Va. 762, 724 S.E.2d 733 (2012), regarding the Constitutionality of whether an agent could appeal a decision on behalf of an entity.

Strike-throughs indicate language that would be stricken from the present law, and underscoring indicates new language that would be added.)